

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                             | FILING DATE         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/508,570                                  | 05/23/2000          | Francois Arminjon    | MBHIB00-210             | 9141             |
| 7:  | 590 09/27/2002      |                      |                         |                  |
|   | oehnen Hulbert & Be | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |
| 300 South Wacker Drive<br>Chicago, IL 60606 |                     |                      | BROWN, STACY S          |                  |
|   |                     |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                     |                      | 1648                    | 15               |
|   |                     | •                    | DATE MAILED: 09/27/2002 | 19               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| •   |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  | •          |
|---|--|---|---|------------|
|   |  | 09/508,570  | ARMINJON ET AL.   |            |
|   | Offic Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |            |
|   |  | Stacy S Brown   | 1648  |            |
| Period f  | The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply   | appears on the cover sheet with   | the correspondence address -  | •          |
| A SH<br>THE<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If the<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>- Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ( period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABAN | y be timely filed<br>30) days will be considered timely.<br>IS from the mailing date of this communica<br>NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | tion.      |
| 3tatus<br>1)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | 02 August 2002  |   |            |
| 2a)□  | <u> </u>   | This action is non-final.   |   |            |
| 3)□   | Since this application is in condition for all   |   | rs, prosecution as to the merit   | rs is      |
| ,—  | closed in accordance with the practice unition of Claims   | der Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.  | 11, 453 O.G. 213.   | .5 15      |
| 4)🖾   | Claim(s) 21-38 is/are pending in the applic  | cation.   |   |            |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with  | drawn from consideration.   |   |            |
| 5)  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |   |            |
| 6)🛛   | Claim(s) 21-38 is/are rejected.  |   |   |            |
| 7)  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |   |            |
| 8)[   | Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar   | nd/or election requirement.   |   |            |
| Applicat  | ion Papers   |   |   |            |
|   | The specification is objected to by the Exam   |   |   |            |
| 10)   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a   |   |   |            |
| 44)   | Applicant may not request that any objection t   |   |   |            |
| 11)   | The proposed drawing correction filed on   | <del></del>   | approved by the Examiner.   |            |
| 12\□  | If approved, corrected drawings are required in<br>The oath or declaration is objected to by the   |   |   |            |
| <i>,</i> —  | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   | s Examiner.   |   |            |
| -   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for  | roign priority under 35 H S C &   | 119(a)-(d) or (f)   |            |
|   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for [□] All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  | eigh phonty under 55 5.5.6. §   | 113(a)-(u) or (i).  |            |
| a)  | 1. Certified copies of the priority docum  | nents have been received  |   |            |
|   | Certified copies of the priority docum   |   | olication No  |            |
| * (   | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa See the attached detailed Office action for a   | priority documents have been re<br>I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | eceived in this National Stage  |            |
|   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom  | •   |   | ation)     |
| а   | i)  The translation of the foreign language  | provisional application has bee   | n received.   | -u         |
|   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom  | nesuc priority under 35 U.S.C. §  | 9 120 and/or 121.   |            |
| Attachmen   | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Su   | mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  |            |
| 2) 🔲 Notic  | ce of References Cited (PTO-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No   | ) 5) Notice of Inf  | ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | <b>-</b> · |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/508,570

Art Unit: 1648

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 2, 2002 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 21-38 are pending and examined.

## Response to Arguments

- 3. The advisory action of May 15, 2002 indicated that claims 36 and 37 were unclear because an "effective amount" did not adequately describe the metes and bounds of the claims. However, in view of Applicant's persuasive arguments, the meaning of an "effective amount" is defined clearly in the specification.
- 4. Claims 21-38 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Petre *et al.* in view of Arminjon *et al.*, both of record. Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered.

Applicant mainly argues that:

- Arminjon fails to teach the elements of the claimed method:
  - (a) Adsorption of tetanus and diphtheria toxoids onto aluminum salt prior to being mixed with other components
  - (b) Preparation of conjugate in phosphate buffer solution prior to being mixed with other components

Application/Control Number: 09/508,570

Art Unit: 1648

- (c) Utilization of purified pertussis toxoid
- (d) Utilization of purified filamentous hemagglutinin
- (e) Utilization of inactivated poliovirus
- In response, the Arminjon reference is withdrawn as a primary reference for failing to disclose the elements of (a) and (b).
- Petre does not suggest (a) and (b), and the subsequent mixing with other components.
  - In response, the Office notes that (a) is clearly taught in Example 2. Step
    (b) is not disclosed, however one of ordinary skill in the art would have
    known how to prepare HiB conjugate in phosphate buffer. Example 2
    teaches the adsorption of tetanus and diphtheria toxoids onto aluminum
    salt prior to being mixed with other components.
- Petre teaches away from the use of aluminum hydroxide HbsAg in combination with other vaccine components.
  - In response, the Office agrees that the use of AH absorbed HBsAg is
    discouraged in combination with other vaccine components. However, the
    instant claims do not limit the aluminum salt to AH, but also include AP,
    which is taught by Petre.

The teachings of Petre have been made of record. Petre fails to disclose some of the specific amounts of particular vaccine components. However, Arminjon discloses the amounts of components claimed by Applicant (of record). It would have been obvious to incorporate the amounts of antigens into the multivalent vaccine of Petre, as taught by Arminjon. One would

Application/Control Number: 09/508,570

Art Unit: 1648

have been motivated to use Arminjon's antigen quantities because both Arminjon and Petre make multivalent vaccines using the same components. Given the similar nature of their vaccines and the widely distributed existing multivalent vaccine containing the PRP-T antigens, one would have had a reasonable expectation of success that the amounts used by Arminjon and Petre would result in effective amounts for vaccination.

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

### Conclusion

### 5. No claim is allowed.

Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 located in Crystal Mall 1. The Fax number for Art Unit 1648 is (703) 308-4426. All Group 1600 Fax machines will be available to receive transmissions 24 hrs/day, 7 days/wk. Please note that the faxing of such papers must conform with the Notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30, (November 15, 1989).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Stacy S. Brown, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2361. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday and alternate Wednesdays from 6:30 AM-4:00 PM, (EST). If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, James C. Housel, can be reached at (703) 308-4027. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Stacy S. Brown

September 26, 2002

HANKYEL T. PARK, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER